UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,866	12/03/2004	Andreas Witzel	P17157-US1	2414	
	27045 7590 05/29/2009 ERICSSON INC.			EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY DRIVE			KARIKARI, KWASI		
	M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,866	WITZEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KWASI KARIKARI	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06.2</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress of the above claim(s) is/are withdress of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-4,9-11,19 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-8 and 12-17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the correct of the constant of the correct of th	ccepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the leaving of the drawing of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/06/2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed on 04/06/2009 with respect to claims 1-17 and 19-20 in the remarks, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See the rejection below of claims 1-17 and 19-20 for relevant citations found in Ejzak disclosing the newly added limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1-4, 9-11 and 19-20 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ejzak (20030027569 A1), (hereinafter Ejzak).

Regarding claim 1, Ejzak discloses a method for operating a switching node in communications network (= determination of serving system to process mobile unit as an MSC or iMSC, see [0095-98 and 0101]), the switching node having a connection for signaling data and additional user data connections, the communications network comprising both a layered architectural environment (e.g., GGM/EDGE system) and a non-layered architectural environment (e.g., WCDMA/UMTS) the method comprising the steps of

receiving a communication service request (= UE 111 initiates mobile call, see Fig. 5 steps 501 and 502; IMS 141 supports services for mobile units using either circuit-switched or IP Multimedia call control procedures, see Par. 0008),

determining, by protocol of the service request, whether the switching node will operate as a layered architectural environment switching node or a non-layered architectural environment switching node (= the system determines if the serving system can process the mobile unit as MSC or iMSC server based on the capability of system, see Par. [0012, 0095-97] and Fig. 4, steps 403 and 404; whereby the capability of the system is being associated with the "protocol of the service request"/ switching rules),

the layered architectural environment providing a user plane layer for user data and a control plane layer for signaling data (= e.g., GGM/EDGE system; and IP system, see Par. 0020, 0022 and 0025) and

Page 4

the non-layered architectural environment (= e.g., WCDMA/UMTS) providing a layer for both the user plane and the control plane (= circuit switch domain, see Pars. 0020, 0022 and 0046); and

processing the requested communications service according to the determined operating mode of the switching node (see Pars. [0013, 0052-54, 0079 and 0096-98]).

Regarding claim 2, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein the communications service request is a call set-up request (see Pars. [0104-07]).

Regarding claim 3, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein the operation mode is determined according to at least one predetermined rule, which is set-up according to available network capabilities (= SIP for IMS internet-like functionality and services, see Pars. [0020-21 and 0028 and 0096-97], whereby the protocol/network capability is associated with "predetermined rule").

Regarding claim 4, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein a plurality of incoming routes (signaling link and signaling and data links) from an access network (RAN 121) to the switching node are provided, at least one predetermined rule comprises an assignment of a dedicated incoming route (signaling link) to an operation

mode of the switching node, and wherein the step of determining the operation mode comprises a determination of an incoming route of the communication service request and a comparison of the determined incoming route against at least one predetermined rule (see Par. [0034-35]).

Regarding claim 9, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein the communication service request requests a subscriber terminal terminating communications service, wherein at least one predetermined rule comprises an assignment of an access technology available to the subscriber terminal to a dedicated operation mode, and wherein the step of determining the operation mode comprises the determination of the access technology available to the terminating subscriber terminal, and the determined operation mode depends on the determined access technology (see Pars. [CDMA and 3GPP, see [0020 and 0080]).

Regarding claim 10, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein the switching node processes the requested communications service as a MSC/VLR, if the determined operation mode indicates that the switching node is part of the non-layered architectural environment (see Par. [0012-13 and 0095-96]).

Regarding claim 11, as recited in claim 1, Ejzak discloses the method, wherein the switching node processes the requested communications service as a MSC-server, if

Art Unit: 2617

the determined operation mode indicates that the switching node is part of the layered architectural environment (iMSC server, see Pars. [0095-97] and Fig. 4, step 408).

Regarding claim 19, Ejzak discloses a network node, in particular a combined MSC/VLR (tradition MSC) and MSC-server (MSC server or iMSC server interconnected with IMS 141, see Par. [0012-13] and Fig. 1; and the determining of switching/operating between a layered (e.g., GGM/EDGE system) or non-layered environment (e.g., WCDMA/UMTS) based on the determination of the <u>protocol</u> of a request, see Pars. 0004-13, 0020-25; Figs. 4 and 5; steps 401, 403, 408, 409, and 420) comprising: an access network interface for the user plane (interface between 111 and RAN 121, see Fig. 1);

an access network interface for the control plane (see Pars. [0025 and 0030]), a core network interface for the user plane (see Pars. [0030-32]),

a core network interface for the control plane, a media gateway interface (see Pars. [0030, 0036 and 0047-48]),

a media gateway operation unit connected to the user plane interfaces adapted to provide media gateway functions (see Pars. [0025 and 0030 and 0036]),

a MSC-server operation unit connected to the control plane interfaces and to the media gateway interface, the MSC-server operation unit adapted to provide Msc-server functionality (MSC server 152, and iMSC 201),

a selection unit adapted to determine for a communication service request <u>received via</u> any control plane interface according to at least one predetermined rule (call set up, see Figs. 4 and 5 and SIP for IMS internet-like functionality and services, see Pars. [0020-21 and 0028], whereby the protocol is associated with "predetermined rule").

an operation mode for a processing of the requested communication service wherein the determined operation mode indicates whether the network node is operatively for the processing of the requested communication service part of a layered architectural environment providing a user plane layer for user data and a control plane layer for signaling data (determination for the system to serve as traditional MSC or iMSC server, see Par. [0012, 0095-96] and Fig. 4, steps 403 and 404), or operatively part of a non-layered architectural environment not providing a split between a user plane and a control plane and a processor connected to the interfaces and units of the switching node, said processor being adapted to process a requested communications service in accordance with a determined operation mode of the network node (see Par. [0096-0100] and Fig. 4, steps 408 and 420).

Regarding claim 20, as recited in claim 19, Ejzak discloses the node comprising means for storing (HSS 142), in particular a lookup table, network node identifiers and related indications, indicating whether the identified network nodes are local or remote to the network node (see Pars. [0048-52]).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-8 and 12-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

Art Unit: 2617

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, for example, combining claims 13 and 14; and adding the combined limitations into claim 1 and 19.

CONCLUSION

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached form PTO-892 for cited references and the prior art made of record.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. SEE MPEP 2141.02 [R-5] VI. PRIOR ART MUST BE CONSIDERED IN ITS ENTIRETY, INCLUDING DISCLOSURES THAT TEACH AWAY FROM THE CLAIMS: A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v. Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert.

Art Unit: 2617

denied, 469 U.S. 851 (1984) In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146

(Fed. Cir. 2004). >See also MPEP §2123.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (9am - 7pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571-272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kwasi Karikari/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617.

/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617